

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY	:	CIVIL ACTION
CORPORATION, et al.	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
WE INFORM, LLC, et al.	:	NO. 24-4037

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY	:	CIVIL ACTION
CORPORATION, et al.	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
INFOMATICS, LLC, et al.	:	NO. 24-4041

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY	:	CIVIL ACTION
CORPORATION, et al.	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
THE PEOPLE SEARCHERS, LLC, et	:	NO. 24-4045
al.	:	

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY	:	CIVIL ACTION
CORPORATION, et al.	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
DELVEPOINT, LLC, et al.	:	NO. 24-4096

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY	:	CIVIL ACTION
CORPORATION, et al.	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
QUANTARIUM ALLIANCE, LLC, et	:	NO. 24-4098
al.	:	

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al.	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
EQUIMINE, INC., et al.	:	NO. 24-4261

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al.	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
MELISSA DATA CORP., et al.	:	NO. 24-4292

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al.	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
GOHUNT, LLC, et al.	:	NO. 24-4380

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al.	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
NUWBER, INC., et al.	:	NO. 24-4609

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al.	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
BELLES CAMP COMMUNICATIONS, INC., et al.	:	NO. 24-4949

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al.	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
SPY DIALER, INC., et al.	:	NO. 24-11023

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al.	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
LIGHTHOUSE LIST COMPANY, LLC, et al.	:	NO. 24-11443

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al.	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
PEOPLEWHIZ, INC., et al.	:	NO. 25-237

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al.	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
FIRST DIRECT, INC., et al.	:	NO. 25-1480

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al.	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
GREENFLIGHT VENTURE CORP., et al.	:	NO. 25-1517

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY	:	CIVIL ACTION
CORPORATION, et al.	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
INNOVATIVE WEB SOLUTIONS,	:	NO. 25-1535
LLC, et al.	:	

ORDER

These cases involve alleged violations of a New Jersey statute known as Daniel's Law. N.J.S.A. 56:8-166.1. The defendants have moved to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction under Rule 12(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The court has allowed discovery on this issue. Before the court is the motion of plaintiffs to compel defendants to provide responsive answers to plaintiffs' interrogatories and to provide documents in response to plaintiffs' requests for production of documents. In ruling on the motion, the court has considered not only the relevance of the requested discovery to the issue of personal jurisdiction, but also proportionality to the needs of the cases. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1). Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED this 13th of August 2025 that:

(1) The motion of plaintiffs to compel discovery is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part;

(2) Defendants Nuwber, Inc. and Spy Dialer, Inc. shall serve answers which meaningfully describe their products and services as set forth in Interrogatory 1;

(3) Defendants Nuwber, Quantarium Alliance, and Spy Dialer shall serve answers to Interrogatory 2. Defendants Belles Camp Communications and Lighthouse List shall supplement their answers to Interrogatory 2 to include customers with New Jersey mailing addresses to the extent that they are able to do so;

(4) Interrogatory 3 is limited to read: "Identify all individuals employed by Defendant since December 1, 2023 with the authority to make decisions regarding takedown of unpublished telephone numbers and home addresses, as well as any entities involved with Defendant's product who have such authority";

(5) Defendants Belles Camp Communication, Delvepoint, We Inform, Infomatics, The People Searchers, Quantarium Alliance, Melissa Data, Nuwber, Spy Dialer, PeopleWhiz, and First Direct shall supplement their answers to Interrogatory 3 as limited;

(6) Defendants Belles Camp Communications, Infomatics, Nuwber, Lighthouse List, Quantarium Alliance, Spy Dialer, The People Searchers, We Inform, PeopleWhiz, and First Direct shall serve answers to Interrogatory 4,

(7) Defendants Belles Camp Communications, Equimine, Infomatics, Nuwber, Lighthouse List, Spy Dialer, The People Searchers, and We Inform shall serve answers to Interrogatory 5;

(8) Defendants Equimine, Melissa Data, Lighthouse List, Spy Dialer, and First Direct shall serve answers to Interrogatory 6;

(9) The motion of plaintiffs to the extent they seek to compel answers to Interrogatories 7, 8, 9, and 10 is DENIED;

(10) The motion of plaintiffs to the extent they seek to compel the production of documents is DENIED without prejudice; and

(11) Full and complete answers to interrogatories as ordered herein shall be served on or before September 3, 2025. No extensions will be granted.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Harvey Bartle III
J.